

Final - GR I - Financial Reporting

**IND AS 115 (REVENUE FROM CONTRACTS
WITH CUSTOMERS)**

ASSIGNMENTS FOR CLASS

1).

On 1 April, 20X1, KLC Ltd. enters into a contract with Mr. K to provide

- A machine for ₹2.5 million
- One year of maintenance services for ₹55,000 per month

On 1 October 20X1, KLC Ltd. and Mr. K agree to modify the contract to reduce the amount of services from ₹55,000 per month to ₹45,000 per month.

Determine the effect of change in the contract?

2).

Growth Ltd enters into an arrangement with a customer for infrastructure outsourcing deal.

Based on its experience, Growth Ltd determines that customising the infrastructure will take approximately 200 hours in total to complete the project and charges ₹150 per hour.

After incurring 100 hours of time, Growth Ltd and the customer agree to change an aspect of the project and increases the estimate of labour hours by 50 hours at the rate of ₹100 per hour.

Determine how contract modification will be accounted as per Ind AS 115?

3).

A construction services company enters into a contract with a customer to build a water purification plant. The company is responsible for all aspects of the plant including overall project management, engineering and design services, site preparation, physical construction of the plant, procurement of pumps and equipment for measuring and testing flow volumes and water quality, and the integration of all components.

Determine whether the company has a single or multiple performance obligations under the contract?

4).

An entity provides broadband services to its customers along with voice call service. Customer buys modem from the entity. However, customer can also get the connection from the entity and modem from any other vendor. The installation activity requires limited effort and the cost involved is almost insignificant. It has various plans where it provides either broadband services or voice call services or both. Are the performance obligations under the contract distinct?

5).

An entity, a software developer, enters into a contract with a customer to transfer a software license, perform an installation service and provide unspecified software updates and technical support (online and telephone) for a two-year period. The entity sells the license, installation service and technical support separately. The installation service includes changing the web screen for each type of user (for example, marketing, inventory management and information technology). The installation service is routinely performed by other entities and does not significantly modify the software. The software remains functional without the updates and the technical support.

Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

6).

Significant customisation

The promised goods and services are the same as in the above illustration, except that the contract specifies that, as part of the installation service, the software is to be substantially customised to add significant new functionality to enable the software to interface with other customised software applications used by the customer. The customised installation service can be provided by other entities.

Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

7).

An entity enters into a contract for the sale of Product A for ₹1,000. As part of the contract, the entity gives the customer a 40% discount voucher for any future purchases up to ₹1,000 in the next 30 days. The entity intends to offer a 10% discount on all sales during the next 30 days as part of a seasonal promotion. The 10% discount cannot be used in addition to the 40% discount voucher.

The entity believes there is 80% likelihood that a customer will redeem the voucher and on an average, a customer will purchase ₹500 of additional products.

Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have and their stand-alone selling price and allocated transaction price?

8).

Estimating variable consideration

XYZ Limited enters into a contract with a customer to build a sophisticated machinery. The promise to transfer the asset is a performance obligation that is satisfied over time. The promised consideration is ₹2.5 crores, but that amount will be reduced or increased depending on the timing of completion of the asset. Specifically, for each day after 31 March 20X1 that the asset is incomplete, the promised consideration is reduced by ₹1 lakh. For each day before 31 March 20X1 that the asset is complete, the promised consideration increases by ₹1 lakh.

In addition, upon completion of the asset, a third party will inspect the asset and assign a rating based on metrics that are defined in the contract. If the asset receives a specified rating, the entity will be entitled to an incentive bonus of ₹15 lakhs.

Determine the transaction price.

9).

Estimating variable consideration

AST Limited enters into a contract with a customer to build a manufacturing facility. The entity determines that the contract contains one performance obligation satisfied over time.

Construction is scheduled to be completed by the end of the 36th month for an agreed-upon price of ₹25 crores.

The entity has the opportunity to earn a performance bonus for early completion as follows:

- 15 percent bonus of the contract price if completed by the 30th month (25% likelihood)
- 10 percent bonus if completed by the 32nd month (40% likelihood)
- 5 percent bonus if completed by the 34th month (15% likelihood)

In addition to the potential performance bonus for early completion, AST Limited is entitled to a quality bonus of ₹2 crores if a health and safety inspector assigns the facility a gold star rating as defined by the agency in the terms of the contract. AST Limited concludes that it is 60% likely that it will receive the quality bonus.

Determine the transaction price.

10).

Volume discount incentive

HT Limited enters into a contract with a customer on 1 April 20X1 to sell Product X for ₹1,000 per unit. If the customer purchases more than 100 units of Product A in a financial year, the contract specifies that the price per unit is retrospectively reduced to ₹900 per unit. Consequently, the consideration in the contract is variable.

For the first quarter ended 30 June 20X1, the entity sells 10 units of Product A to the customer. The entity estimates that the customer's purchases will not exceed the 100 unit threshold required for the volume discount in the financial year. HT Limited determines that it has significant experience with this product and with the purchasing pattern of the customer. Thus, HT Limited concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised (i.e. ₹1,000 per unit) will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved (i.e. when the total amount of purchases is known).

Further, in May 20X1, the customer acquires another company and in the second quarter ended 30 September 20X1 the entity sells an additional 50 units of Product A to the customer. In the light of the new fact, the entity estimates that the customer's purchases will exceed the 100 unit threshold for the financial year and therefore it will be required to retrospectively reduce the price per unit to ₹900.

Determine the amount of revenue to be recognise by HT Ltd. for the quarter ended 30 June 20X1 and 30 September 20X1.

11).

Measurement of variable consideration

An entity has a fixed fee contract for ₹1 million to develop a product that meets specified performance criteria. Estimated cost to complete the contract is ₹950,000. The entity will transfer control of the product over five years, and the entity uses the cost-to-cost input method to measure progress on the contract. An incentive award is available if the product meets the following weight criteria:

Weight (kg)	Award % of fixed fee	Incentive fee
951 or greater	0%	—
701–950	10%	₹100,000
700 or less	25%	₹250,000

The entity has extensive experience creating products that meet the specific performance criteria. Based on its experience, the entity has identified five engineering alternatives that will achieve the 10 percent incentive and two that will achieve the 25 percent incentive. In this case, the entity determined that it has 95 percent confidence that it will achieve the 10 percent incentive and 20 percent confidence that it will achieve the 25 percent incentive.

Based on this analysis, the entity believes 10 percent to be the most likely amount when estimating the transaction price. Therefore, the entity includes only the 10 percent award in the transaction price when calculating revenue because the entity has concluded it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is subsequently resolved due to its 95 percent confidence in achieving the 10 percent award.

The entity reassesses its production status quarterly to determine whether it is on track to meet the criteria for the incentive award. At the end of the year four, it becomes apparent that this contract will fully achieve the weight-based criterion. Therefore, the entity revises its estimate of variable consideration to include the entire 25 percent incentive fee in the year four because, at this point, it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when including the entire variable consideration in the transaction price.

Evaluate the impact of changes in variable consideration when cost incurred is as follows:

Year	₹
1	50,000
2	1,75,000
3	4,00,000
4	2,75,000

12).**Right of return**

An entity enters into 1,000 contracts with customers. Each contract includes the sale of one product for ₹50 (1,000 total products \times ₹50 = ₹50,000 total consideration). Cash is received when control of a product transfers. The entity's customary business practice is to allow a customer to return any unused product within 30 days and receive a full refund. The entity's cost of each product is ₹30.

The entity applies the requirements in Ind AS 115 to the portfolio of 1,000 contracts because it reasonably expects that, in accordance with paragraph 4, the effects on the financial statements from applying these requirements to the portfolio would not differ materially from applying the requirements to the individual contracts within the portfolio. Since the contract allows a customer to return the products, the consideration received from the customer is variable. To estimate the variable consideration to which the entity will be entitled, the entity decides to use the expected value method (see paragraph 53(a) of Ind AS 115) because it is the method that the entity expects to better predict the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled. Using the expected value method, the entity estimates that 970 products will not be returned.

The entity estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit.

Determine the amount of revenue, refund liability and the asset to be recognised by the entity for the said contracts.

13).**Accounting for significant financing component**

NKT Limited sells a product to a customer for ₹121,000 that is payable 24 months after delivery. The customer obtains control of the product at contract inception. The contract permits the customer to return the product within 90 days. The product is new and the entity has no relevant historical evidence of product returns or other available market evidence.

The cash selling price of the product is ₹100,000 which represents the amount that the customer would pay upon delivery for the same product sold under otherwise identical terms and conditions as at contract inception. The entity's cost of the product is ₹80,000. The contract includes an implicit interest rate of 10 per cent (i.e. the interest rate that over 24 months discounts the promised consideration of ₹121,000 to the cash selling price of ₹100,000). Analyse the above transaction with respect to its financing component.

14).**Determining the discount rate**

VT Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell equipment. Control of the equipment transfers to the customer when the contract is signed. The price stated in the contract is ₹1 crore plus a 10% contractual rate of interest, payable in 60 monthly instalments of ₹212,470.

Determine the discounting rate and the transaction price when

Case A—Contractual discount rate reflects the rate in a separate financing transaction

Case B—Contractual discount rate does not reflect the rate in a separate financing transaction ie 14%.

15).**Advance payment and assessment of discount rate**

ST Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell an asset. Control of the asset will transfer to the customer in two years (i.e. the performance obligation will be satisfied at a point in time). The contract includes two alternative payment options:

- 1) Payment of ₹5,000 in two years when the customer obtains control of the asset or
- 2) Payment of ₹4,000 when the contract is signed. The customer elects to pay ₹4,000 when the contract is signed.

ST Limited concludes that the contract contains a significant financing component because of the length of time between when the customer pays for the asset and when the entity transfers the asset to the customer, as well as the prevailing interest rates in the market.

The interest rate implicit in the transaction is 11.8 per cent, which is the interest rate necessary to make the two alternative payment options economically equivalent. However, the entity determines that, the rate that should be used in adjusting the promised consideration is 6%, which is the entity's incremental borrowing rate.

Pass journal entries showing how the entity would account for the significant financing component

16).**Payment in arrears**

Company Z is a developer and manufacturer of defence systems that is primarily a Tier-II supplier of parts and integrated systems to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) in the commercial markets. Company Z enters into a contract with Company X for the development and delivery of 5,000 highly technical, specialized missiles for use in one of Company X's platforms.

As a part of the contract, Company X has agreed to pay Company Z for their cost plus an award fee up to ₹100 crores. The consideration will be paid by the customer related to costs incurred near the time Company Z incurs such costs. However, the ₹100 crores award fee is awarded upon successful completion of the development and test fire of a missile to occur in 16 months from the time the contract is executed.

The contract specifies Company Z will earn up to ₹100 crores based on Company X's assessment of Company Z's ability to develop and manufacture a missile that achieves multiple factors, including final weight, velocity, and accuracy. Partial award fees may be awarded based on a pre-determined scale based on their success.

Assume Company Z has assessed the contract under Ind AS 115 and determined the award fee represents variable consideration. Based on their assessment, Company Z has estimated a total of ₹80 crores in the transaction price related to the variable consideration pursuant to guidance within Ind AS 115. Further, the entity has concluded it should recognize revenue over time for a single performance obligation using a cost-to-cost input method.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

17).**Applying practical expedient**

Company H enters into a two-year contract to develop customized software for Company C. Company H concludes that the goods and services in this contract constitute a single performance obligation.

Based on the terms of the contract, Company H determines that it transfers control over time, and recognizes revenue based on an input method best reflecting the transfer of control to Company C.

Company C agrees to provide Company H monthly progress payments. Based on the expectation of the timing of costs to be incurred, Company H concludes that progress payments are being made such that the timing between the transfer of control and payment is never expected to exceed one year.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

18).**Fair value of non-cash consideration varies for reasons other than the form of the consideration**

RT Limited enters into a contract to build an office building for AT Limited over an 18-month period. AT Limited agrees to pay the construction entity ₹350 crores for the project. RT Limited will receive a bonus of 10 lakhs equity shares of AT Limited if it completes construction of the office building within one year. Assume a fair value of ₹100 per share at contract inception.

Determine the transaction price.

19).**Customer-provided goods or services**

MS Limited is a manufacturer of cars. It has a supplier of steering systems – SK Limited. MS Limited places an order of 10,000 steering systems on SK Limited. It also agrees to pay ₹25,000 per steering system and contributes tooling to be used in SK's production process.

The tooling has a fair value of ₹2 crores at contract inception. SK Limited determines that each steering system represents a single performance obligation and that control of the steering system transfers to MS Limited upon delivery.

SK Limited may use the tooling for other projects and determines that it obtains control of the tooling.

Determine the transaction price?

20).**Consideration payable to a customer**

An entity that manufactures consumer goods enters into a one-year contract to sell goods to a customer that is a large global chain of retail stores. The customer commits to buy at least ₹15 crores of products during the year. The contract also requires the entity to make a non-refundable payment of ₹1.5 crores to the customer at the inception of the contract. The ₹1.5 crores payment will compensate the customer for the changes it needs to make to its shelving to accommodate the entity's products. The entity does not obtain control of any rights to the customer's shelves.

Determine the transaction price.

21).**Allocation methodology**

An entity enters into a contract with a customer to sell Products A, B and C in exchange for ₹10,000. The entity will satisfy the performance obligations for each of the products at different points in time. The entity regularly sells Product A separately and therefore the stand-alone selling price is directly observable. The stand-alone selling prices of Products B and C are not directly observable.

Because the stand-alone selling prices for Products B and C are not directly observable, the entity must estimate them. To estimate the stand-alone selling prices, the entity uses the adjusted market assessment approach for Product B and the expected cost plus a margin approach for Product C. In making those estimates, the entity maximises the use of observable inputs.

The entity estimates the stand-alone selling prices as follows:

Product	Stand-alone selling price	Method
	₹	
Product A	5,000	Directly observable
Product B	2,500	Adjusted market assessment approach
Product C	7,500	Expected cost plus a margin approach
Total	15,000	

Determine the transaction price allocated to each product.

22).**Allocating a discount**

An entity regularly sells Products X, Y and Z individually, thereby establishing the following stand-alone selling prices:

Product	Stand-alone selling price
	₹
Product X	50,000
Product Y	25,000
Product Z	45,000
Total	1,20,000

In addition, the entity regularly sells Products Y and Z together for ₹50,000.

Case A—Allocating a discount to one or more performance obligations

The entity enters into a contract with a customer to sell Products X, Y and Z in exchange for ₹100,000. The entity will satisfy the performance obligations for each of the products at different points in time; or Product Y and Z at same point of time. Determine the allocation of transaction price to Product Y and Z.

Case B—Residual approach is appropriate

The entity enters into a contract with a customer to sell Products X, Y and Z as described in Case A. The contract also includes a promise to transfer Product Alpha. Total consideration in the contract is ₹130,000. The stand-alone selling price for Product Alpha is highly variable because the entity sells Product Alpha to different customers for a broad range of amounts (₹15,000 – ₹45,000). Determine the stand-alone selling price of Products, X, Y, Z and Alpha using the residual approach.

Case C—Residual approach is inappropriate

The same facts as in Case B apply to Case C except the transaction price is ₹1,05,000 instead of ₹130,000.

23).**Allocation of variable consideration**

An entity enters into a contract with a customer for two intellectual property licences (Licences A and B), which the entity determines to represent two performance obligations each satisfied at a point in time. The stand-alone selling prices of Licences A and B are ₹1,600,000 and ₹2,000,000, respectively. The entity transfers Licence B at inception of the contract and transfers Licence A one month later.

Case A—Variable consideration allocated entirely to one performance obligation

The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of ₹1,600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is three per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. For purposes of allocation, the entity estimates its sales-based royalties (ie the variable consideration) to be ₹2,000,000. Allocate the transaction price.

Case B—Variable consideration allocated on the basis of stand-alone selling prices

The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of ₹600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is five per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. The entity's estimate of the sales-based royalties (ie the variable consideration) is ₹3,000,000. Allocate the transaction price and determine the revenue to be recognised for each licence and the contract liability, if any.

24).**Allocating a change in transaction price**

On 1 April 20X0, a consultant enters into an arrangement to provide due diligence, valuation, and software implementation services to a customer for ₹2 crores. The consultant can earn ₹20 lakhs bonus if it completes the software implementation by 30 September 20X0 or ₹10 lakhs bonus if it completes the software implementation by 31 December 20X0.

The due diligence, valuation, and software implementation services are distinct and therefore are accounted for as separate performance obligations. The consultant allocates the transaction price, disregarding the potential bonus, on a relative stand-alone selling price basis as follows:

- Due diligence – ₹80 lakhs
- Valuation – ₹20 lakhs
- Software implementation – ₹1 crore

At contract inception, the consultant believes it will complete the software implementation by 30 January 20X1. After considering the factors in Ind AS 115, the consultant cannot conclude that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognized would not occur when the uncertainty is resolved since the consultant lacks experience in completing similar projects. As a result, the consultant does not include the amount of the early completion bonus in its estimated transaction price at contract inception.

On 1 July 20X0, the consultant notes that the project has progressed better than expected and believes that implementation will be completed by 30 September 20X0 based on a revised forecast. As a result, the consultant updates its estimated transaction price to reflect a bonus of ₹20 lakhs.

After reviewing its progress as of 1 July 20X0, the consultant determines that it is 100 percent complete in satisfying its performance obligations for due diligence and valuation and 60 percent complete in satisfying its performance obligation for software implementation.

Determine the transaction price.

25).

Space Ltd. enters into an arrangement with a government agency for construction of a space satellite. Although Space Ltd is in this business for building such satellites for various customers across the world, however the specifications for each satellite may vary based on technology that is incorporated in the satellite. In the event of termination, Company has right to enforce payment for work completed to date.

Evaluate if contract will qualify for satisfaction of performance obligation over a period of time.

26).

Uninstalled materials On 01 January 20X1, an entity contracts to renovate a building including the installation of new elevators. The entity estimates the following with respect to the contract:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Transaction price	5,000,000
Expected costs:	
(a) Elevators	1,500,000
(b) Other costs	2,500,000
Total	4,000,000

The entity purchases the elevators and they are delivered to the site six months before they will be installed. The entity uses an input method based on cost to measure progress towards completion. The entity has incurred actual other costs of 500,000 by March 31, 20X1.

How will the Company recognize revenue, if performance obligation is met over a period of time?

27).

An entity enters into a contract with a customer for the sale of a tangible asset on 1 January 20X1 for ₹1 million. The contract includes a call option that gives the entity the right to repurchase the asset for ₹1.1 million on or before December 31, 20X1. How would the entity account for this transaction?

28).

An entity enters into a contract with a customer for the sale of a tangible asset on 1 January 20X1 for ₹1,000,000. The contract includes a put option that gives the customer the right to sell the asset for ₹900,000 on or before December 31, 20X1. The market price for such goods is expected to be ₹750,000 How would the entity account for this transaction?

29).

Customer outsources its information technology data centre

Term = 5 years plus two 1-yr renewal options

Average customer relationship is 7 years

Entity spends ₹400,000 designing and building the technology platform needed to accommodate out-sourcing contract:

Design services	₹50,000
Hardware	₹140,000
Software	₹100,000
Migration and testing of data centre	₹110,000
TOTAL	₹400,000

How should such costs be treated?

30).

A Ltd. is in the business of the infrastructure and has two divisions under the same; (I) Toll Roads and (II) Wind Power. The brief details of these business and underlying project details are as follows:

- I. Bhilwara-Jabalpur Toll Project - The Company has commenced the construction of the project in the current year and has incurred total expenses aggregating to ₹50 crores as on 31st December, 20X1. Under IGAAP, the Company has recorded such expenses as Intangible Assets in the books of account. The brief details of the Concession Agreement are as follows:
 - Total Expenses estimated to be incurred on the project ₹100 crores;
 - Fair Value of the construction services is ₹110 crores;
 - Total Cash Flow guaranteed by the Government under the concession agreement is ₹200 crores;
 - Finance revenue over the period of operation phase is ₹15 crores;
 - Other income relates to the services provided during the operation phase.
- II. Kolhapur- Nagpur Expressway - The Company has also entered into another concession agreement with Government of Maharashtra in the current year. The construction cost for the said project will be ₹110 crores. The fair value of such construction cost is approximately ₹200 crores. The said concession agreement is Toll based project and the Company needs to collect the toll from the users of the expressway. Under IGAAP, UK Ltd. has recorded the expenses incurred on the said project as an Intangible Asset.

Required

- (i) What would be the classification of Bhilwara-Jabalpur Toll Project as per applicable Ind AS? Give brief reasoning for your choice.
- (ii) What would be the classification of Kolhapur-Nagpur Expressway Toll Project as per applicable Ind AS? Give brief reasoning for your choice.

(iii) Also, suggest suitable accounting treatment for preparation of financial statements as per Ind AS for the above 2 projects.

31).

Q TV released an advertisement in Deshabandhu, a vernacular daily. Instead of paying for the same, Q TV allowed Deshabandhu a free advertisement spot, which was duly utilised by Deshabandhu. How revenue for these nonmonetary transactions in the area of advertising will be recognised and measured?

32).

A Ltd. a telecommunication company, entered into an agreement with B Ltd. which is engaged in generation and supply of power. The agreement provided that A Ltd. will provide 1,00,000 minutes of talk time to employees of B Ltd. in exchange for getting power equivalent to 20,000 units. A Ltd. normally charges Re.0.50 per minute and B Ltd. charges ₹2.5 per unit. How should revenue be measured in this case?

33).

Company X enters into an agreement on January 1, 20X1 with a customer for renovation of hospital and install new air-conditioners for total consideration of ₹50,00,000. The promised renovation service, including the installation of new air-conditioners is a single performance obligation satisfied over time. Total expected costs are ₹40,00,000 including ₹10,00,000 for the airconditioners.

Company X determines that it acts as a principal in accordance with paragraphs B34-B38 of Ind AS 115 because it obtains control of the air conditioners before they are transferred to the customer. The customer obtains control of the air conditioners when they are delivered to the hospital premises.

Company X uses an input method based on costs incurred to measure its progress towards complete satisfaction of the performance obligation.

As at March 31, 20X1, other costs incurred excluding the air conditioners are ₹6,00,000.

Whether Company X should include cost of the air conditioners in measure of its progress of performance obligation? How should revenue be recognised for the year ended March 20X1?

ASSIGNMENTS FOR HOME

1).

New way limited decides to enter a new market that is currently experiencing economic difficulty and expects that in future economy will improve. New way enters into an arrangement with a customer in the new region for networking products for promised consideration of ₹1,250,000. At contract inception, New way expects that it may not be able to collect the full amount from the customer.

Determine how New way will recognise this transaction?

Solution

Assuming the contract meets the other criteria covered within the scope of the model in Ind AS 115, New way need to assesses whether collectability is probable.

In making this assessment, New way considers whether the customer has the ability and intent to pay the estimated transaction price, which may be an amount less than the contract price.

2).

A gymnasium enters into a contract with a new member to provide access to its gym for a 12-month period at ₹4,500 per month. The member can cancel his or her membership without penalty after three months. Specify the contract term.

Solution

The enforceable rights and obligations of this contract are for three months, and therefore the contract term is three months.

3).

Manufacturer of airplanes for the air force negotiates a contract to design and manufacture new fighter planes for a Kashmir air base. At the same meeting, the manufacturer enters into a separate contract to supply parts for existing planes at other bases. Would these contracts be combined?

Solution

Contracts were negotiated at the same time, but they appear to have separate commercial objectives. Manufacturing and supply contracts are not dependent on one another, and the planes and the parts are not a single performance obligation. Therefore, contracts for supply of fighter planes and supply of parts shall not be combined and instead, they shall be accounted separately.

4).

An entity promises to sell 120 products to a customer for ₹120,000 (₹1,000 per product). The products are transferred to the customer over a six-month period. The entity transfers control of each product at a point in time. After the entity has transferred control of 60 products to the customer, the contract is modified to require the delivery of an additional 30 products (a total of 150 identical products) to the customer at a price of ₹950 per product which is the standalone selling price for such additional products at the time of placing this additional order. The additional 30 products were not included in the initial contract.

It is assumed that additional products are contracted for a price that reflects the stand-alone selling price.

Determine the accounting for the modified contract?

Solution

When the contract is modified, the price of the contract modification for the additional 30 products is an additional ₹28,500 or ₹950 per product. The pricing for the additional products reflects the stand-alone selling price of the products at the time of the contract modification and the additional products are distinct from the original products.

Accordingly, the contract modification for the additional 30 products is, in effect, a new and separate contract for future products that does not affect the accounting for the existing contract and ₹950 per product for the 30 products in the new contract.

5).

An entity enters into a contract to build a power plant for a customer. The entity will be responsible for the overall management of the project including services to be provided like engineering, site clearance, foundation, procurement, construction of the structure, piping and wiring, installation of equipment and finishing. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have?

Solution

Based on the discussion above it needs to be determined that the promised goods and services are capable of being distinct as per the principles of Ind AS 115. That is, whether the customer can benefit from the goods and services either on their own or together with other readily available resources. This is evidenced by the fact that the entity, or competitors of the entity, regularly sells many of these goods and services separately to other customers. In addition, the customer could generate economic benefit from the individual goods and services by using, consuming, selling or holding those goods or services.

However, the goods and services are not distinct within the context of the contract. That is, the entity's promise to transfer individual goods and services in the contract are not separately identifiable from other promises in the contract. This is evidenced by the fact that the entity provides a significant service of putting together the various inputs or goods and services into the power plant or the output for which the customer has contracted.

Since both the criteria has not met, the goods and services are not distinct. The entity accounts for all of the goods and services in the contract as a single performance obligation.

6).

Could the series requirement apply to hotel management services where day to day activities vary, involve employee management, procurement, accounting, etc?

Solution

The series guidance requires each distinct good or service to be “substantially the same.” Management should evaluate this requirement based on the nature of its promise to customer. For example, a promise to provide hotel management services for a specified contract term may meet the series criteria. This is because the entity is providing the same service of “hotel management” each period, even though some on underlying activities may vary each day. The underlying activities for e.g. reservation services, property maintenance services are activities to fulfil the hotel management service rather than separate promises. The distinct service within the series is each time increment of performing the service.

7).

Entity A, a specialty construction firm, enters into a contract with Entity B to design and construct a multi-level shopping centre with a customer car parking facility located in sub-levels underneath the shopping centre. Entity B solicited bids from multiple firms on both phases of the project — design and construction.

The design and construction of the shopping centre and parking facility involves multiple goods and services from architectural consultation and engineering through procurement and installation of all of the materials. Several of these goods and services could be considered separate performance obligations because Entity A frequently sells the services, such as architectural consulting and engineering services, as well as standalone construction services based on third party design, separately. Entity A may require to continually alter the design of the shopping centre and parking facility during construction as well as continually assess the propriety of the materials initially selected for the project.

Determine how many performance obligations does the entity A have?

Solution

Entity A analyses that it will be required to continually alter the design of the shopping centre and parking facility during construction as well as continually assess the propriety of the materials initially selected for the project. Therefore, the design and construction phases are highly dependent on one another (i.e., the two phases are highly interrelated). Entity A also determines that significant customisation and modification of the design and construction services is required in order to fulfil the performance obligation under the contract. As such, Entity A concludes that the design and construction services will be bundled and accounted for as one performance obligation.

8).

A cable company provides television services for a fixed rate fee of ₹800 per month for a period of 3 years. Cable services is satisfied overtime because customer consumes and receives benefit from services as it is provided i.e. customer generally benefits each day that they have access to cable service.

Determine how many performance obligations does the cable company have?

Solution

Cable company determines that each increment of its services e.g. day or month, is a distinct performance obligation because customer benefits from that period of services on its own. Additionally, each increment of service is separately identifiable from those preceding and following it i.e. one service period does not significantly affect, modify or customise another. Therefore, it can be concluded that its contract with customer is a single performance obligation to provide three years of cable service because each of the distinct increments of service is satisfied over time. Also, cable company uses the same measure of progress to recognise revenue on its cable television service regardless of the contract's time period.

9).

Manufacturer M enters into a 60-day consignment contract to ship 1,000 dresses to Retailer A's stores. Retailer A is obligated to pay Manufacturer M ₹20 per dress when the dress is sold to an end customer.

During the consignment period, Manufacturer M has the contractual right to require Retailer A to either return the dresses or transfer them to another retailer. Manufacturer M is also required to accept the return of the inventory. State when the control is transferred.

Solution

Manufacturer M determines that control has not been transferred to Retailer A on delivery, for the following reasons:

- (a) Retailer A does not have an unconditional obligation to pay for the dresses until they have been sold to an end customer;
- (b) Manufacturer M is able to require that the dresses be transferred to another retailer at any time before Retailer A sells them to an end customer; and
- (c) Manufacturer M is able to require the return of the dresses or transfer them to another retailer.

Manufacturer M determines that control of the dresses transfers when they are sold to an end customer i.e. when Retailer A has an unconditional obligation to pay Manufacturer M and can no longer return or otherwise transfer the dresses.

Manufacturer M recognises revenue as the dresses are sold to the end customer.

10).

An entity negotiates with major airlines to purchase tickets at reduced rates compared with the price of tickets sold directly by the airlines to the public. The entity agrees to buy a specific number of tickets and will pay for those tickets even if it is not able to resell them. The reduced rate paid by the entity for each ticket purchased is negotiated and agreed in advance. The entity determines the prices at which the airline tickets will be sold to its customers. The entity sells the tickets and collects the consideration from customers when the tickets are purchased; therefore, there is no credit risk.

The entity also assists the customers in resolving complaints with the service provided by airlines. However, each airline is responsible for fulfilling obligations associated with the ticket, including remedies to a customer for dissatisfaction with the service.

Determine whether the entity is a principal or an agent.

Solution

To determine whether the entity's performance obligation is to provide the specified goods or services itself (i.e. the entity is a principal) or to arrange for another party to provide those goods or services (i.e. the entity is an agent), the entity considers the nature of its promise. The entity determines that its promise is to provide the customer with a ticket, which provides the right to fly on the specified flight or another flight if the specified flight is changed or cancelled. The entity considers the following indicators for assessment as principal or agent under the contract with the customers:

- (a) the entity is primarily responsible for fulfilling the contract, which is providing the right to fly. However, the entity is not responsible for providing the flight itself, which will be provided by the airline.
- (b) the entity has inventory risk for the tickets because they are purchased before they are sold to the entity's customers and the entity is exposed to any loss as a result of not being able to sell the tickets for more than the entity's cost.
- (c) the entity has discretion in setting the sales prices for tickets to its customers.

The entity concludes that its promise is to provide a ticket (i.e. a right to fly) to the customer. On the basis of the indicators, the entity concludes that it controls the ticket before it is transferred to the customer. Thus, the entity concludes that it is a principal in the transaction and recognises revenue in the gross amount of consideration to which it is entitled in exchange for the tickets transferred.

11).

Customer buy a new data connection from the telecom entity. It pays one-time registration and activation fees at the time of purchase of new connection. The customer will be charged based on the usage of the data services of the connection on monthly basis.

Are the performance obligations under the contract distinct?

Solution

By selling a new connection, the entity promises to supply data services to customer. Customer will not be able to benefit from just buying a data card and data services from third party. The activity of registering and activating connection is not a service to customer and therefore does not represent satisfaction of performance obligation.

Entity's obligation is to provide data service and hence activation is not a separate performance obligation.

12).

Management fees subject to the constraint

On 1 April 20X1, an entity enters into a contract with a client to provide asset management services for five years. The entity receives a two per cent quarterly management fee based on the client's assets under management at the end of each quarter. At 31 March 20X2, the client's assets under management are ₹100 crores. In addition, the entity receives a performance-based incentive fee of 20 per cent of the fund's return in excess of the return of an observable market index over the five-year period. Consequently, both the management fee and the performance fee in the contract are variable consideration.

Analyse the revenue to be recognised on 31 March, 20X2.

Solution

The entity accounts for the services as a single performance obligation because it is providing a series of distinct services that are substantially the same and have the same pattern of transfer (the services transfer to the customer over time and use the same method to measure progress—that is, a time-based measure of progress).

The entity observes that the promised consideration is dependent on the market and thus is highly susceptible to factors outside the entity's influence. In addition, the incentive fee has a large number and a broad range of possible consideration amounts. The entity also observes that although it has experience with similar contracts, that experience is of little predictive value in determining the future performance of the market. Therefore, at contract inception, the entity cannot conclude that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised would not occur if the entity included its estimate of the management fee or the incentive fee in the transaction price.

At each reporting date, the entity updates its estimate of the transaction price. Consequently, at the end of each quarter, the entity concludes that it can include in the transaction price the actual amount of the quarterly management fee because the uncertainty is resolved. However, the entity concludes that it cannot include its estimate of the incentive fee in the transaction price at those dates. This is because there has not been a change in its assessment from contract inception—the variability of the fee based on the market index indicates that the entity cannot conclude that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised would not occur if the entity included its estimate of the incentive fee in the transaction price.

At 31 March 20X2, the client's assets under management are ₹100 crores. Therefore, the resulting quarterly management fee and the transaction price is ₹2 crores.

At the end of each quarter, the entity allocates the quarterly management fee to the distinct services provided during the quarter. This is because the fee relates specifically to the entity's efforts to transfer the services for that quarter, which are distinct from the services provided in other quarters.

Consequently, the entity recognises ₹2 crores as revenue for the quarter ended 31 March 20X2.

13).

Financing component: significant or insignificant?

A commercial airplane component supplier enters into a contract with a customer for promised consideration of ₹7,000,000. Based on an evaluation of the facts and circumstances, the supplier concluded that ₹140,000 represented a insignificant financing component because of an advance payment received in excess of a year before the transfer of control of the product.

State whether company needs to make any adjustment in determining the transaction price.

What if the advance payment was larger and received further in advance, such that the entity concluded that ₹1,400,000 represented the financing component based on an analysis of the facts and circumstances.

Solution

The entity may conclude that ₹140,000, or 2 percent of the contract price, is not significant, and the entity may not need to adjust the consideration promised in determining the transaction price.

However, when the advance payment was larger and received further in advance, such that the entity may conclude that ₹1,400,000 represents the financing component based on an analysis of the facts and circumstances. In such a case, the entity may conclude that ₹1,400,000, or 20 percent of the contract price, is significant, and the entity should adjust the consideration promised in determining the transaction price.

Note: In this illustration, the entity's conclusion that 2 percent of the transaction price was not significant and 20 percent was significant is a judgment based on the entity's facts and circumstances. An entity may reach a different conclusion based on its facts and circumstances.

14).

Withheld payments on a long-term contract

ABC Limited enters into a contract for the construction of a power plant that includes scheduled milestone payments for the performance by ABC Limited throughout the contract term of three years. The performance obligation will be satisfied over time and the milestone payments are scheduled to coincide with the expected performance by ABC Limited. The contract provides that a specified percentage of each milestone payment is to be withheld as retention money by the customer throughout the arrangement and paid to the entity only when the building is complete.

Analyse whether the contract contains any financing component.

Solution

ABC Limited concludes that the contract does not include a significant financing component since the milestone payments coincide with its performance and the contract requires amounts to be retained for reasons other than the provision of finance. The withholding of a specified percentage of each milestone payment is intended to protect the customer from the contractor failing to adequately complete its obligations under the contract.

15).

Advance payment

XYZ Limited, a personal computer (PC) manufacturer, enters into a contract with a customer to provide global PC support and repair coverage for three years along with its PC. The customer purchases this support service at the time of buying the product. Consideration for the service is an additional ₹3,000. Customers electing to buy this service must pay for it upfront (i.e. a monthly payment option is not available).

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

Solution

To determine whether there is a significant financing component in the contract, the entity considers the nature of the service being offered and the purpose of the payment terms. The entity charges a single upfront amount, not with the primary purpose of obtaining financing from the customer but, instead, to maximise profitability, taking into consideration the risks associated with providing the service. Specifically, if customers could pay monthly, they would be less likely to renew and the population of customers that continue to use the support service in the later years may become smaller and less diverse over time (i.e. customers that choose to renew historically are those that make greater use of the service, thereby increasing the entity's costs). In addition, customers tend to use services more if they pay monthly rather than making an upfront payment. Finally, the entity would incur higher administration costs such as the costs related to administering renewals and collection of monthly payments.

In assessing whether or not the contract contains a significant financing component, XYZ Limited determines that the payment terms were structured primarily for reasons other than the provision of finance to the entity. XYZ Limited charges a single upfront amount for the services because other payment terms (such as a monthly payment plan) would affect the nature of the risks it assumes to provide the service and may make it uneconomical to provide the service. As a result of its analysis, XYZ Limited concludes that there is not a significant financing component.

16).

Advance payment

A computer hardware vendor enters into a three-year arrangement with a customer to provide support services. For customers with low credit ratings, the vendor requires the customer to pay for the entire arrangement in advance of the provision of service. Other customers pay over time.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

Solution

Due to this customer's credit rating, the customer pays in advance for the three-year term. Because there is no difference between the amount of promised consideration and the cash selling price (that is, the customer does not receive a discount for paying in advance), the vendor requires payment in advance only to protect against customer non-payment, and no other factors exist to suggest the arrangement contains a financing, the vendor concludes this contract does not provide the customer or the entity with a significant benefit of financing.

17).

Sales based royalty

A software vendor enters into a contract with a customer to provide a license solely in exchange for a sales-based royalty.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

Solution

Although the payment will be made in arrears, because the total consideration varies based on the occurrence or non-occurrence of a future event that is not within the control of the customer or the entity, the software vendor concludes the contract does not provide the customer or the entity with a significant benefit of financing.

18).

Payment in arrears

An EPC contractor enters into a two-year contract to develop customized machine for a customer. The contractor concludes that the goods and services in this contract constitute a single performance obligation.

Based on the terms of the contract, the contractor determines that it transfers control over time, and recognizes revenue based on an input method best reflecting the transfer of control to the customer. The customer agrees to provide the contractor monthly progress payments, with the final 25 percent payment (holdback payment) due upon contract completion. As a result of the holdback payment, there is a gap between when control transfers and when consideration is received, creating a financing component.

Analyse whether there is any significant financing component in the contract or not.

Solution

There is no difference between the amount of promised consideration and the cash selling price (that is, the customer did not pay a premium for paying a portion of the consideration in arrears). The payment terms included a holdback payment only to ensure successful completion of the project, and no other factors exist to suggest the arrangement contains a financing. Hence, the contractor concludes this contract does not provide the customer or the contractor with a significant benefit of financing.

19).

Entitlement to non-cash consideration

An entity enters into a contract with a customer to provide a weekly service for one year. The contract is signed on 1st April 20X1 and work begins immediately. The entity concludes that the service is a single performance obligation. This is because the entity is providing a series of distinct services that are substantially the same and have the same pattern of transfer (the services transfer to the customer over time and use the same method to measure progress — that is, a time-based measure of progress).

In exchange for the service, the customer promises its 100 equity shares per week of service (a total of 5,200 shares for the contract). The terms in the contract require that the shares must be paid upon the successful completion of each week of service.

How should the entity decide the transaction price?

Solution

The entity measures its progress towards complete satisfaction of the performance obligation as each week of service is complete. To determine the transaction price (and the amount of revenue to be recognised), the entity has to measure

the fair value of 100 shares that are received upon completion of each weekly service. The entity shall not reflect any subsequent changes in the fair value of the shares received (or receivable) in revenue.

20).

Minitek Ltd. is a payroll processing company. Minitek Ltd. enters into a contract to provide monthly payroll processing services to ABC limited for one year. Determine how entity will recognise the revenue?

Solution

Payroll processing is a single performance obligation. On a monthly basis, as Microtek Ltd carries out the payroll processing –

- The customer, ie, ABC Limited simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits of the entity's performance in processing each payroll transaction.
- Further, once the services have been performed for a particular month, in case of termination of the agreement before maturity and contract is transferred to another entity, then such new entity will not need to re-perform the services for expired months.

Therefore, it satisfies the first criterion, ie, services completed on a monthly basis are consumed by the entity at the same time and hence, revenue shall be recognised over the period of time.

For certain performance obligations, an entity may not be able to readily identify whether a customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits from the entity's performance as the entity performs. In such cases, a performance obligation is satisfied over time if an entity determines that another entity would not need to substantially re-perform the work that the entity has completed to date if that other entity were to fulfil the remaining performance obligation to the customer.

In making such determination, an entity shall make both of the following assumptions:

- (a) disregard potential contractual restrictions or practical limitations that otherwise would prevent the entity from transferring the remaining performance obligation to another entity; and
- (b) presume that another entity fulfilling the remainder of the performance obligation would not have the benefit of any work in progress.

21).

T&L Limited ('T&L') is a logistics company that provides inland and sea transportation services. A customer – Horizon Limited ('Horizon') enters into a contract with T&L for transportation of its goods from India to Srilanka through sea. The voyage is expected to take 20 days Mumbai to Colombo. T&L is responsible for shipping the goods from Mumbai port to Colombo port.

Whether T&L's performance obligation is met over period of time?

Solution

T&L has a single performance to ship the goods from one port to another. The following factors are critical for assessing how services performed by T&L are consumed by the customer –

- As the voyage is performed, the service undertaken by T&L is progressing, such that no other entity will need to re-perform the service till so far as the voyage has been performed, if T&L was to deliver only part-way.
- The customer is directly benefitting from the performance of the voyage as & when it progresses.

Therefore, such performance obligation is said to be met over a period of time.

22).

AFS Ltd. is a risk advisory firm and enters into a contract with a company – WBC Ltd to provide audit services that results in AFS issuing an audit opinion to the Company. The professional opinion relates to facts and circumstances that are

specific to the company. If the Company was to terminate the consulting contract for reasons other than the entity's failure to perform as promised, the contract requires the Company to compensate the risk advisory firm for its costs incurred plus a 15 per cent margin. The 15 per cent margin approximates the profit margin that the entity earns from similar contracts.

Whether risk advisory firm's performance obligation is met over period of time?

Solution

AFS has a single performance to provide an opinion on the professional audit services proposed to be provided under the contract with the customer. Evaluating the criterion for recognising revenue over a period of time or at a point in time, Ind AS 115 requires one of the following criterion to be met –

- Criterion (a) – whether the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits from services provided by AFS: Company shall benefit only when the audit opinion is provided upon completion. And in case the contract was to be terminated, any other firm engaged to perform similar services will have to substantially re-perform.

Hence, this criterion is not met.

- Criterion (b) – An asset created that customer controls: This is service contract and no asset created, over which customer acquires control.
- Criterion (c) – no alternate use to entity and right to seek payment:
 - ❖ The services provided by AFS are specific to the company – WBC and do not have any alternate use to AFS
 - ❖ Further, AFS has a right to enforce payment if contract was early terminated, for reasons other than AFS's failure to perform. And the profit margin approximates what entity otherwise earns.

Therefore, criterion (c) is met and such performance obligation is said to be met over a period of time.

23).

ABC enters into a contract with a customer to build an item of equipment. The customer pays 10% advance and then 80% in instalments of 10% each over the period of construction with balance 10% payable at the end of construction period. The payments are non-refundable unless the company fails to perform as per the contract. Further, if the customer terminates the contract, then entity is entitled to retain payments made. The company will have no further right to compensation from the customer. Evaluate if contract will qualify for satisfaction of performance obligation over a period of time.

Solution

The Company shall evaluate conditions laid in para 35 of Ind AS 115 as follows:

- Criterion (a) – whether the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits: Customer can benefit only when the asset is fully constructed and no benefits are consumed as its constructed. Hence, this criterion is not met.
- Criterion (b) – An asset created that customer controls: Per provided facts, the customer does not acquire control of the asset as its created.
- Criterion (c) – no alternate use to entity and right to seek payment:
 - ❖ The customer has specific right over the asset and company does not have right to divert it for any alternate use. In other words, there is contractual restriction to use the asset for any alternate purpose.
 - ❖ In the event of early termination, Company has a right to retain any payments made by the customer. However, such payments need not necessarily compensate the selling price of the partially constructed asset, if the customer was to stop making payments.

Therefore, Company does not have a legally enforceable right to payment for work completed to date and the criterion under para 35 is not. Thus, revenue cannot be recognised over a period of time.

Methods of measuring progress of a performance obligation satisfied over time

Output Methods	Input Methods
----------------	---------------

Recognise revenue on the basis of direct measurements of the value to the customer of the goods or services transferred to date relative to the remaining goods or services promised under the contract.	Recognise revenue on the basis of the entity's efforts or inputs to the satisfaction of a performance obligation.
For Example: Surveys of performance completed to date, appraisals of results achieved	For Example: Resources consumed, labour hours expended, costs incurred, time elapsed or machine hours used

A. Output methods:

- Output method is selected if it would faithfully depict the entity's performance towards complete satisfaction of the performance obligation. It may not be useful in depicting the entity's performance if it would fail to measure some of the goods or services for which control has transferred to the customer. For example, output methods based on units produced or units delivered would not faithfully depict an entity's performance in satisfying a performance obligation if, at the end of the reporting period, the entity's performance has produced work in progress or finished goods controlled by the customer that are not included in the measurement of the output.
- **As a practical expedient** – if a company has a right to consideration from a customer in an amount which corresponds directly with the value billed to the customer of the entity's performance completed to date, then company may recognise revenue for the amount to which the entity has a right to invoice. For eg.: a service contract in which entity bills a fixed amount for each hour of service provided, etc.

B. Input methods:

- If the entity's efforts or inputs are expended evenly throughout the performance period, it may be appropriate for the entity to recognise revenue on a straight-line basis.
- While applying input method, a careful consideration should be given for events that do not depict a direct relationship between entity's inputs and transfer of control of goods or services. For example, when cost-based input method is used, an adjustment may be required in the following cases –
 - (a) When any cost incurred does not contribute to an entity's progress in satisfying performance obligation – any excess costs incurred owing to entity's inefficiencies that were not reflected in the price of the contract must be ignored for measuring progress of work. For eg: cost of wasted materials, labour or other resources, etc.
 - (b) When cost incurred is not proportionate to entity's progress in satisfying its performance obligation. In such cases, the best reflection is to adjust the input method to recognise revenue only to the extent of costs incurred. Such recognition of revenue to the extent of costs incurred is appropriate, if at contract inception, all the following conditions exist:
 - (i) The goods do not represent a distinct performance obligation;
 - (ii) Customer is expected to obtain control of the goods significantly before receiving the services;
 - (iii) Cost of such goods is significant relative to the total expected costs to complete the performance obligation; and
 - (iv) The entity procures the goods from a third party and does not significantly involve in designing / manufacturing the goods (even if the entity is a principal in the arrangement between the entity and end customer).

An entity shall apply a single method of measuring progress for each performance obligation satisfied over time, and the entity shall apply that method consistently to similar performance obligations and in similar circumstances. At the end of each reporting period, an entity shall remeasure its progress towards complete satisfaction of a performance obligation satisfied over time.

As circumstances change over time, an entity shall update its measure of progress to reflect any changes in the outcome of the performance obligation. Such changes to an entity's measure of progress shall be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate in accordance with Ind AS 8, Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

24).

Measuring progress on straight line basis An entity, an owner and manager of health clubs, enters into a contract with a customer for one year of access to any of its health clubs. The customer has unlimited use of the health clubs and promises to pay CU100 per month. The entity's promise to the customer is to provide a service of making the health clubs available for the customer to use as and when the customer wishes.

Evaluate if contract will qualify for satisfaction of performance obligation over a period of time. If yes, how should an entity measure its progress of service provided?

Solution

The entity shall determine if revenue should be recognised over a period of time by evaluating the conditions laid in para 35 of Ind AS 115.

- Applying the first criterion of para 35 to establish if the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits, as the entity provides service – The health club provides access to services uniformly through the year. The extent to which the customer uses the health clubs does not affect the amount of the remaining goods and services to which the customer is entitled. The customer therefore simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits of the entity's performance as it performs by making the health clubs available.
- Consequently, the entity's performance obligation is satisfied over time
- Once the pattern of satisfying performance obligation is defined, the Company then determines how progress should be measured. The services are uniformly provided to the customer through the year. Therefore, the best measure of progress is to recognise revenue on a straight line basis over the year.

25).

An entity enters into a contract with a customer on 1 April 20X1 for the sale of a machine and spare parts. The manufacturing lead time for the machine and spare parts is two years.

Upon completion of manufacturing, the entity demonstrates that the machine and spare parts meet the agreed-upon specifications in the contract. The promises to transfer the machine and spare parts are distinct and result in two performance obligations that each will be satisfied at a point in time. On 31 March 20X3, the customer pays for the machine and spare parts, but only takes physical possession of the machine. Although the customer inspects and accepts the spare parts, the customer requests that the spare parts be stored at the entity's warehouse because of its close proximity to the customer's factory. The customer has legal title to the spare parts and the parts can be identified as belonging to the customer. Furthermore, the entity stores the spare parts in a separate section of its warehouse and the parts are ready for immediate shipment at the customer's request. The entity expects to hold the spare parts for two to four years and the entity does not have the ability to use the spare parts or direct them to another customer.

How will the Company recognise revenue for sale of machine and spare parts? Is there any other performance obligation attached to this sale of goods?

Solution

In the facts provided above, the entity has made sale of two goods – machine and space parts, whose control is transferred at a point in time. Additionally, company agrees to hold the spare parts for the customer for a period of 2-4 years, which is a separate performance obligation. Therefore, total transaction price shall be divided amongst 3 performance obligations –

- (i) Sale of machinery
- (ii) Sale of spare parts
- (iii) Custodial services for storing spare parts.

Recognition of revenue for each of the three performance obligations shall occur as follows:

- Sale of machinery: Machine has been sold to the customer and physical possession as well as legal title passed to the customer on 31 March 20X3. Accordingly, revenue for sale of machinery shall be recognised on 31 March 20X3.
- Sale of spare parts: The customer has made payment for the spare parts and legal title has been passed to specifically identified goods, but such spares continue to be physically held by the entity. In this regard, the company shall evaluate if revenue can be recognized on bill-n-hold basis if all below criteria are met:

(a) the reason for the bill-and-hold arrangement must be substantive (for example, the customer has requested the arrangement);	The customer has specifically requested for entity to store goods in their warehouse, owing to close proximity to customer's factory.
(b) the product must be identified separately as belonging to the customer;	The spare parts have been specifically identified and inspected by the customer.
(c) the product currently must be ready for physical transfer to the customer; and	The spares are identified and segregated, therefore, read for delivery.
(d) the entity cannot have the ability to use the product or to direct it to another customer	Spares have been segregated and cannot be redirected to any other customer.

Therefore, all conditions of bill-and-hold are met and hence, company can recognize revenue for sale of spare parts on 31 March 20X3.

- Custodial services: Such services shall be given for a period of 2 to 4 years from 31 March 20X3. Where services are given uniformly and customer receives & consumes benefits simultaneously, revenue for such service shall be recognized on a straight line basis over a period of time.

26).

Amortisation

An entity enters into a service contract with a customer and incurs incremental costs to obtain the contract and costs to fulfil the contract. These costs are capitalised as assets in accordance with Ind AS 115. The initial term of the contract is five years but it can be renewed for subsequent one-year periods up to a maximum of 10 years. The average contract term for similar contracts entered into by entity is seven years. Determine appropriate method of amortisation?

Solution

The most appropriate amortisation period is likely to be seven years (i.e. the initial term of five years plus two anticipated one year renewals) because that is the period over which the entity expects to provide services under the contract to which the capitalised costs relate.